

**APPROVED**  
**MINUTES OF THE MILFORD BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING**  
February 8, 2010

**PRESENT:** Tim Finan, Chairman  
Gary Daniels, Vice Chairman  
Katherine Bauer, Member  
Mike Putnam, Member  
Nate Carmen, Member  
Guy Scaife, Town Administrator  
Darlene J. Bouffard, Recording Secretary

**1. CALL TO ORDER, BOARD OF SELECTMEN INTRODUCTIONS & PUBLIC SPEAKING**

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Selectman Putnam moved to enter into non-public session for the 5:30 p.m. appointment, with Selectman Bauer seconding. All were in favor. After the non-public appointments, Chairman Finan called the public meeting to order at 5:42 p.m. and welcomed those in attendance to the Board of Selectman meeting. Following introductions of Board members, Chairman Finan led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance. Chairman Finan noted that those people in the audience who want to speak or add to the discussion should please use a microphone in order to be heard on the PEG Access live broadcast. Chairman Finan announced that in non-public session, the Board discussed an issue of reputation and a legal matter; the Board accepted the Town Assessor recommendation to grant an elderly tax deferral as well as to accept a BTLA settlement as recommended.

**2. APPOINTMENTS:**

**5:30 p.m. – Non-Public Session (RSA 91-A:3, II(c)) - Reputation.** Selectman Putnam moved to enter into non-public session at 5:30 p.m., seconded by Selectman Bauer. All members were polled individually and consented to enter non-public session to discuss an elderly tax deferral with Town Assessor Marti Noel. The minutes of this meeting were sealed on motion made by Selectman Putnam and seconded by Vice Chairman Daniels. All members were in favor. After discussion, Selectman Putnam moved to come out of non-public session at 5:35 p.m. Selectman Bauer seconded. All were in favor. Motion passed. Chairman Finan announced that in non-public session an elderly tax deferral was approved.

**5:35 p.m. – Non-Public Session (RSA 91-A:3 II(e)) – Legal.** Already being in non-public session, Town Assessor Marti Noel presented a BTLA settlement proposal. After discussion the minutes of this meeting were sealed on motion made by Selectman Putnam and seconded by Vice Chairman Daniels. All members were in favor. After discussion, Selectman Putnam moved to come out of non-public session at 5:41 p.m. Vice Chairman Daniels seconded. All members were in favor. Motion passed. Chairman Finan announced that in non-public session a BTLA settlement was accepted by the Board.

**5:40 p.m. – Departmental Update – Community Development.** Bill Parker, Director of Community Development, read the Mission statement for Community Development followed by an update of all the areas that fall under the department as follows: Planning, Geographic Information System (GIS), Zoning & Zoning Board of Adjustment, Building Safety and Code Compliance, Health, Land Research, Special Projects/Community Development, noting that in 2009 development reflected the economy in general. Selectman Bauer asked if GIS will have an effect on staffing. Bill Parker responded that is possible since it is time consuming. Selectman Bauer said that Sarah Marchant does a great job and she would not want Sarah to burn out. Bill Parker said that Sarah knows what she is doing with GIS. Vice Chairman Daniels asked if the Community Development office will have a part in the dam removal issues. Mr. Parker said he is not sure what that will entail, but will provide assistance if needed. Selectman Bauer said that the Economic Development Advisory Committee (EDAC) is doing a study on all commercial developments in town, and wanted to know if they were familiar with the student report done on Route 13. Mr. Parker indicated that they have read that report. Selectman Bauer noted that whatever commercial development might be done on Route 13 North would have an effect on downtown. Selectman Bauer asked if they are only looking at zoning for that. Mr. Parker said they are putting that project on the back burner for now and looking town wide to identify commercial areas in town. It all ties in together. Chairman Finan asked if South Street will be done this summer and if utilities will be put underground. Bill Parker said South Street will be widened from Union Square south to the railroad tracks. The existing paving width will remain the same at Union Square but the north lane on South Street will get closer to Bravo pizza. That plan will come before the Board of Selectman in the future. Easements are being worked out for that area and utilities will be underground in that area.

APPROVED MINUTES OF BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING - 2/8/10

1 Vice Chairman Daniels asked about the Amigo's portion of the sidewalks. Mr. Parker indicated those sidewalks  
2 will remain the same. Selectman Bauer asked when those plans will come out. Bill Parker stated in the next couple  
3 of months and this will start when school is out. Selectman Bauer asked if the businesses in the area are ready for  
4 this project. Bill Parker responded that they are, it is disruptive, but they are aware of something happening; he will  
5 work with Tracey from DO-IT. Chairman Finan asked about the Emerson Road study, is that intersection  
6 important? Bill Parker responded that it has been talked about for several years; the Traffic Safety Committee  
7 looked at that about 1-2 years ago and discussed contacting the State to see if any State aid is available. Selectman  
8 Putnam asked if that is a "failed intersection?" Bill Parker responded that it is graded a D or E at certain times of the  
9 day.

10  
11 Selectman Bauer asked what is being done to streamline the permitting process at the town level. Bill Parker wants  
12 to make the permit process easier and increase the ability to communicate with other departments on the permitting.  
13 The Munis system is being utilized to track inspections and they are also getting customer information to indicate  
14 what is required. Follow up with other departments is a work in progress and is continuing to be improved. There is  
15 always room for improvement. Part of streamlining is educating people coming in for permits; customers can  
16 sometimes be overwhelmed by all the permitting that is required. Guy Scaife indicated that a few years ago there  
17 was a separation of code enforcement and health officer which has now been combined with Planning and Zoning;  
18 we have good relationships with the community; this department is helpful and easy to interact with. Customers like  
19 the people in this office. The committees provide reports from this department, which the staff does the work for; it  
20 is a model department for modeling customer relationships. Guy Scaife said that people have been complimentary  
21 of the office, so hat's off to that group of employees. Bill Parker thanked the people who work in Community  
22 Development who go the extra step.

23  
24 **6:10 p.m. – Presentation of Smart Commute Week.** Jill Longval, NRPC Public Outreach Coordinator, explained  
25 that NRPC would like to kick off a Smart Commute Week in Milford on May 15, 2010. This event would kick off  
26 this national movement (referred to as Green Commute Week) at a local level, since Milford is the center of the  
27 western part of the region. Smart Commute Week encourages alternative means of transportation in and around  
28 town and will educate employees and employers about the benefits of using alternative modes of transportation.  
29 The proposal is to hold this event on the Milford Oval on Saturday May 15, 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. and will include  
30 activities such as a bike workshop, bike rodeo and educational booths. Ms. Longval is looking for preliminary  
31 Board input at this point. Smart Commute week lasts until May 21. Ms. Longval will work with businesses in the  
32 area to get activities in places of business. NRPC would be responsible for the entire event, publicizing, staffing and  
33 financial impact. This would be a positive event for the town and Ms. Longval is looking to get input from the  
34 Board to see if a date can be nailed down for advertising. Ms. Longval has spoken with Dawn who indicated the  
35 oval is available. Selectman Bauer cautioned that the Oval is very busy on Saturdays. Vice Chairman Daniels asked  
36 if police details would be required. Ms. Longval has spoken with Guy Scaife and Bill Parker, and they do not  
37 believe the level of participation will require police details, but if the town feels it is necessary, NRPC would fund  
38 that. Chairman Finan asked about signs on the oval. Ms. Longval said that they are interested in that possibility and  
39 Chairman Finan stated that an event must be sponsored by the town in order for signs to be allowed. Guy Scaife  
40 indicated that Milford is part of NRPC and this is for the good of Milford. Selectman Bauer asked if the  
41 promotional advertisements (flyers) are being distributed. Ms. Longval responded that they are distributing the  
42 flyers to businesses when talking with them about being involved. Once the date is nailed down, the flyers will be  
43 distributed more heavily. Chairman Finan asked has this been discussed with the Recreation Department. Ms.  
44 Longval said that was something that was not yet done and she made a note to do that. Vice Chairman Daniels  
45 would feel more comfortable with signs if NRPC got together with the Recreation Department. Selectman Carmen  
46 suggested they also work with PEG Access for advertising. Ms. Longval said she also does the household  
47 hazardous waste collection dates through PEG Access, so she is familiar with that process.

48  
49 **7:00 p.m. – First Public Hearing on Ordinance 2010-001 to Enact Modifications to Milford Municipal Code**  
50 **Book 7.04.070 – Open Liquor Container Prohibition.** Chairman Finan opened the public hearing at 7:30 p.m. for  
51 the first public hearing of ordinance 2010-001 to Enact Modifications to Milford Municipal Code Book 7.04.070 –  
52 Open Liquor Container Prohibition. There were no comments from the public. The public hearing was closed at  
53 7:34 p.m.

54  
55 Vice Chairman Daniels asked why this is being brought forward. Chairman Finan explained the Chief of Police and  
56 Prosecutor brought this forward to give another option to the Prosecutor when deciding whether or not to press  
57 charges. Right now, the only option is to use the State law, this ordinance will allow the prosecutor to press charges  
58 at a lower level. Vice Chairman Daniels asked about the "passenger area" of the car. Chairman Finan stated that the

1 RSA is cited and refers to a beverage being within reach of the driver. Vice Chairman Daniels accepted that  
2 explanation. Selectman Bauer does not want this to be less strict for the driver. Vice Chairman Daniels would like  
3 additional information from Chief Douglas prior to approving this ordinance. Selectman Bauer agreed and feels she  
4 may have misunderstood the reasoning that was explained previously. Guy Scaife indicated this change was to give  
5 the prosecutor an option of pressing charges against the local ordinance instead of the State law. Selectman Putnam  
6 indicated that this also states where open containers are allowed. Consensus of the Board was to bring in Chief  
7 Douglas for the second reading of this ordinance.  
8

9 **3. PUBLIC COMMENTS (regarding items that are not on the agenda)**

10 1) Faye Richey, indicated she has some questions about the PEG Access Revolving Fund warrant article,  
11 but will wait until that item is brought up later for a Board vote.

12 2) Rodney Richey thanked Bill Parker for the Community Development review and wished to thank Bill  
13 Parker for the support given to the Community Facilities Committee during 2009. There was a lot of time put into  
14 that effort by the Community Development Department.

15 3) Rodney Richey asked that additional details be included in the Voter's Guide for the Petition Warrant Ar-  
16 ticles, including that a petition warrant article may be brought forward by a certain number of registered voters and  
17 have a minimum of 25 signatures; also reference that RSA for voters in the Voter's Guide. Rodney Richey provided  
18 specific wording to Chairman Finan for inclusion in the Voter's Guide. Consensus of the Board was to include that  
19 information in the Voter's Guide.  
20

21 **4. DECISIONS**

22 a) **CONSENT CALENDAR.** Chairman Finan asked if there were any items to be removed from the Consent  
23 Calendar. He explained that if they are taken off the Consent Calendar, they will be discussed fully; otherwise they  
24 will be accepted together without discussion. There were no items removed for discussion. Selectman Putnam  
25 moved and Vice Chairman Daniels seconded to approve the Consent Calendar as follows, with all members in favor.  
26

27 **(1) Acceptance of Unanticipated Funds Under \$5,000.** The acceptance of unanticipated funds under \$5,000  
28 as follows:

29 The acceptance for expenditure of unanticipated funds under RSA 31:95-b for the following:

Source	Amount	Purpose
Various Clients	\$540.00	Marital Mediation Fees from various clients

32  
33 **b) OTHER DECISIONS**

34  
35 **(1) Request for Amendment to Approved Board of Selectman Minutes of December 14, 2009.** Chairman  
36 Finan indicated that the minutes of December 14, 2009 were missing an announcement of a non-public ses-  
37 sion (5:00 p.m. appointment) as follows:

38 *Vice Chairman Daniels moved to grant permission to the Conservation Commission to acquire the*  
39 *Milford portion of the Shelburne property (parcel 45-20) using existing funds in the Conservation*  
40 *Commission budget. Selectman Carmen seconded. All were in favor of the motion. Motion*  
41 *passed 4/0.*

42 Selectman Putnam moved to amend the minutes of December 14, 2009 as identified above. Vice Chairman  
43 Daniels seconded. All were in favor.  
44

45 **5. TOWN ADMINISTRATOR REPORT**

46 a) **Milford District Court Funding Update.** Guy Scaife indicated HB 1516 is being presented which looks  
47 at providing funding for four courts, including Milford, through 2011. After that time, the State would take it up at  
48 budget time. That bill passed 23-1 from that committee; this Wednesday (2/10) it goes to the full house. Peter  
49 Leishman has been assisting moving this along. It will now go to the House and he feels good that it will move on.  
50 Depending on Wednesday's vote, it will be taken up by the Senate (if it passes). Guy Scaife passed out the wording  
51 on that bill to Board members. There is a Lease Payment Waiver written into the language. There is concern about  
52 that language; Guy Scaife will continue to follow this issue. Vice Chairman Daniels sat in on those discussions not-  
53 ing that there were some towns that offered to waive the fee since the court was located in a town owned building;  
54 that was their effort to try to keep the court there. There were also people that said this is the people's court and  
55 towns should not have to waive those fees. In the Legislative Bulletin, Guy Scaife indicated SB492 attempts to ex-  
56 tend the poll tax extension; this tax would keep getting extended; the cost is passed on to consumers. LGC is asking  
57 people to contact their Senators to defeat this proposal.  
58

APPROVED MINUTES OF BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING - 2/8/10

b) **Miscellaneous.** At the Saturday Deliberative Session, Guy Scaife indicated when the Osgood Road sidewalk project came up, regarding the annual cost of sidewalk plowing, and Bill Ruoff estimated maybe \$1,500 to plow. However, today Bill Ruoff calculated a more realistic number and will issue a memo of what those costs actually are projected to be. It should be noted the sidewalk plows are a lesser priority than the road plows.

If rumble strips are removed during the Route 101 paving project, Guy Scaife indicated that DOT has indicated the rumble strips will be replaced after the work is done and they will add additional safety strips. Chief Douglas has reviewed that information and was pleased with it. Additionally, Chief Douglas has looked at the passing lanes and was provided that information to re-evaluate their safety.

6. **DISCUSSIONS:**

a) **Request to Possibly Submit a Nashua and School Street Intersection Parking/Line of Sight Issue to the Traffic Safety Committee.** Guy Scaife explained the Traffic Safety Committee (TSC) should not review safety concerns unless they come to them from the Board of Selectman and then they will review and come back with a recommendation. Selectman Putnam suggested that this be discussed by the Board of Selectman and decide what the Board would like to see before it goes to the TSC. Selectman Putnam would like to look at the intersection before providing an opinion. Guy Scaife explained that issues came up during the Pumpkin Festival which is why this is brought forward. Typically, if in a standard vehicle, and someone is parked in the first space on School Street (at the intersection of Nashua Street), in order to leave the intersection, a driver cannot see oncoming traffic on Nashua Street. Selectman Bauer agrees there is a line of sight issue but would hate to lose a parking space. Chairman Finan thinks there is a guideline of how far a parking space can be from the intersection. Selectman Putnam would like to get information on how many accidents there have been at that intersection in the past five years. Guy Scaife will collect that information for the Board's review. This item was tabled until information is gathered and reviewed.

b) **Tentative Post Deliberative Session Final Votes on Warrant Articles.** Chairman Finan asked how the Board would like to proceed with the final votes. Selectman Bauer would like to identify any changes that were made at deliberative session. Guy Scaife noted the majority/minority reports from the Budget Advisory Committee (BAC) will not be available until Friday since they are meeting again Thursday night.

ARTICLE 4 (Fire/Ambulance Land Purchase Bond). After the Saturday Deliberative Session, the BAC stayed and took a vote on the purchase of Fire Station land (Article 4) which failed 4/5. It failed because the BAC opposes the language they proposed and that was amended in the Warrant Article. There were some that felt a standalone facility should be an alternative, but they are opposed to the joint facility. If that is the case, the financial difference between the bond and a standalone facility was \$1 million. Today, Jack Sheehy, Financial Director, looked at a 20 year bond and the cost of that \$1 million would actually be \$1,472,000 over 20 years. There has not been a debate that a standalone ambulance facility would be superior to a joint facility.

Chairman Finan asked if the BAC is meeting again to discuss that. Guy Scaife said they will meet Thursday night. Selectman Carmen said the BAC wants to meet with Ambulance Director Eric Schelberg and Fire Chief Frank Fraitzl to get information about their questions. Guy Scaife responded that they met last Wednesday night (2/3) and discussed details of the report but there was no additional request for input from department heads. The meeting went on for two hours. Selectman Bauer attended that meeting and she was surprised that the BAC had not formulated their opinion to present at Deliberative Session. When an ambulance facility was proposed, did that negate the research and work that was done by the CFC? Selectman Bauer is afraid that it did since most people will see the minority and majority report of the BAC. This Friday is the deadline to get it in the Voter's Guide. Vice Chairman Daniels said there has been no decision on what is second choice for the building. Chairman Finan said that is correct. Selectman Bauer indicated the wording gives authority to the Board of Selectman, with consultations from the Ambulance and Fire Directors. Selectman Bauer does not think there is a lot of land where a standalone piece of land can be bought in Milford. Chairman Finan said the BAC is a separate body from the Board of Selectman. Selectman Bauer indicated the Town of Milford deserves a reason for their position.

ARTICLE 28 (Cable Access Revolving Fund). Faye Richey indicated she has reconsidered support for this article for a Cable Access revolving fund. This was not discussed at the Bond hearing and it was late at Deliberative Session on Saturday when it came up. The concern for Faye Richey is that there is confusion: after deliberative session she spoke with someone about how the revolving fund was originally set up with the franchise fee and was that a tax and how was it worded when it began being collected. Additionally, we know that EDAC is coming in for a possible redesign of the website through Community Media. We need to identify the impact of utilizing the Community Media budget, which includes PEG Access and the website. Further, Ms. Richey indicated this proposed revolving fund would go into effect in 2011, but if it went to a vote in 2011, that would not change the outcome, so

APPROVED MINUTES OF BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING - 2/8/10

1 why not wait? Ms. Richey feels this is a good way to make headway on the website; she attended a public hearing  
2 for EDAC and they have good ideas about the website. Ms. Richey asked for the Board to wait until 2011 to put the  
3 funding in a Revolving Fund for PEG Access in order to get a more comprehensive plan in place.  
4

5 Vice Chairman Daniels has researched Board of Selectman agendas back to the year 2000, the franchise fees  
6 were renewed with Adelphia but turned down. Cable TV has been continuously brought up; the original contract  
7 was signed back in the 90's; this has been passed from Board to Board. The franchise fee is a tax, he does not know  
8 what it will gain to delay another year. He feels it is unfair that residents are paying this franchise fee but what if the  
9 3% was not charged and a decision was made next year on how to move forward? Ms. Richey suggested if it has  
10 been unfair, it has been unfair for a long time. She is a Comcast user so she is paying it. Have there been a lot of  
11 complaints that the franchise fee has been put into the general fund until now? Vice Chairman Daniels said he has  
12 complained about it more than anyone else. Ms. Richey sees that it has been done wrong and that it must not con-  
13 tinue, but she wants assurance that the town is going to have a comprehensive plan of how money is to be used on  
14 the website, which requires decisions to be made. The website will cost money and if the town looks at it for a year  
15 we can have a plan when going forward to the voters. If this passes, the franchise fees will not be moved to the re-  
16 volving fund until 2011. There have not been any complaints because of the way it has been handled since the be-  
17 ginning. This is a good time to make sure we have the Community Media/Website covered in a plan and how it will  
18 be funded. Vice Chairman Daniels indicated if the town has a website that is available to everyone, everyone should  
19 pay for it; the franchise fee should come from general tax payers, not just cable subscribers. Ms. Richey suggested  
20 the town runs a risk when the pot is divided up; she is a cable subscriber and she is more than willing to share that  
21 money with the community; she does not want it all herself, that is a dangerous path - we value community. So  
22 when more cameras are needed by PEG, Vice Chairman Daniels asked if cable subscribers should pay for that? Ms.  
23 Richey responded that we need to live within the budget. Ms. Richey said let's give it a chance and not confuse  
24 people; we need to stick to a plan; we need to be able to tell people this will go towards covering as many possibili-  
25 ties as it can. She would like to add a note that the amount of money collected be defined; the Warrant Article states  
26 there is a tax impact.  
27

28 Chairman Finan agrees with Ms. Richey that there is some confusion. Ms. Richey indicated the Board has decided  
29 the outcome that the money could be used for different means of communication. Let's make a plan and convince  
30 everyone that the funding be put forth next year. Selectman Carmen agreed it is confusing; we are trying to fix a  
31 wrong; but that amount is a potential impact. If the PEG budget is less than what the fee is, we could charge a fee to  
32 them but that creates more confusion. This needs to get done. Ms. Richey indicated the franchise fees raise  
33 \$114,000 per year, is that only from cable subscribers or where will the \$25,000 short fall come from? The Salary  
34 and benefits is \$90,000. If nothing changes, Selectman Carmen said that would be the impact to tax payers. With  
35 this warrant article, the PEG money is being put where it is supposed to be put. Ms. Richey said we do not have a  
36 communication plan, we have not decided where the emphasis should be or identified the cost of website manage-  
37 ment. We know the website needs management. One more year will not make a big difference because the money  
38 will not be put in the revolving fund until 2011. Guy Scaife indicated that Dave Kirsch's efforts on the website are  
39 included in the budget, everything Mr. Kirsch does is covered in the budget. It is set up to include all web activities.  
40 If the website were to be excluded, it would be an additional burden on website funding.  
41

42 Vice Chairman Daniels suggested that money is being used for something else. Chairman Finan indicated the fran-  
43 chise fee agreement does not say the fees have to be used for cable access. Ms. Richey said the BAC is going to  
44 vote on this, but we need to look at both sides of the issue.  
45

46 Chairman Finan went through each article for a final Board of Selectman vote:

- 47
- 48 ARTICLE 3 ARRA BORROWING ISSUE - SEWER UPGRADE PROJECT Vote 5/0 support
- 49 ARTICLE 4 - FIRE DEPARTMENT/AMBULANCE LAND PURCHASE Vote 4/1 support
- 50 Vice Chairman Daniels minority
- 51 ARTICLE 5 - OPERATING BUDGET Vote 5/0 support
- 52 ARTICLE 6 - WASTEWATER OPERATING BUDGET (no vote - Water Commissioners)
- 53 ARTICLE 7 - WATER DEPARTMENT OPERATING BUDGET (no vote - Water Commissioners)
- 54 ARTICLE 8 - ROUTE 101A/ROUTE 13/OVAL IMPROVEMENTS Vote 5/0 support
- 55 ARTICLE 9 - OSGOOD ROAD SIDEWALK/BICYCLE LANE Vote 3/2 support
- 56 Vice Chairman Daniels/Selectman Putnam minority
- 57 ARTICLE 10 - GRANITE TOWN RAIL TRAIL Vote 3/2 support
- 58 Vice Chairman Daniels/Selectman Putnam minority

APPROVED MINUTES OF BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING - 2/8/10

- 1
- 2 ARTICLE 11 – AFSCME UNION AGREEMENT Vote 0/4/1 opposed
- 3 Selectman Bauer abstained
- 4 ARTICLE 12 – NON-EMERGENCY BUS SERVICE Vote 5/0 support
- 5 ARTICLE 13 – SOCIAL SERVICES Vote 4/1 support
- 6 Vice Chairman Daniels minority
- 7 ARTICLE 14 – GENERAL FRANK E KALEY PARK Vote 3/2 support
- 8 Vice Chairman Daniels/Selectman Putnam minority
- 9 ARTICLE 15 – TEAMSTERS UNION AGREEMENT Vote 5/0 support
- 10 ARTICLE 16 – PUMPKIN FESTIVAL, HOLIDAY DECORATIONS Vote 5/0 support
- 11 ARTICLE 17 – DO-IT OPERATING BUDGET SUPPORT Vote 5/0 support
- 12 ARTICLE 18 – CONSERVATION LAND FUND Vote 3/2 support
- 13 Vice Chairman Daniels/Selectman Putnam minority
- 14 ARTICLE 19 – FIRE WORKS Vote 3/2 support
- 15 Vice Chairman Daniels/Selectman Putnam minority
- 16 ARTICLE 20 – SUMMER BAND CONCERTS Vote 5/0 support
- 17 ARTICLE 21 – MEMORIAL, VETERANS, LABOR DAY PARADES Vote 5/0 support
- 18 ARTICLE 22 – AUTHORITY TO SELL OR BUY LAND & UBILDINGS Vote 5/0 support
- 19 ARTICLE 23 – DISCONTINUANCE OF LIBRARY EXP TRUST FUND Vote 5/0 support
- 20 ARTICLE 24 – AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT TRUSTS Vote 5/0 support
- 21 ARTICLE 25 – RECLASSIFICATION JENNISON ROAD AS SCENIC Vote 5/0 support
- 22 ARTICLE 26 – RECLASSIFICATION OF FOSTER ROAD AS SCENIC Vote 5/0 support
- 23 ARTICLE 27 – RECLASSIFICATION OF MASON ROAD AS SCENIC Vote 5/0 support
- 24 ARTICLE 28 – CABLE ACCESS REVOLVING FUND Vote 4/1 support
- 25 Selectman Carmen minority
- 26 ARTICLE 29 – PETITION Warrant Article ON DEFINING MARRIAGE No Board vote taken

28 Chairman Finan reminded those who have changed their votes to write up a majority/minority report to be included in the Voter’s Guide.

31 7. SELECTMEN’S REPORTS / DISCUSSIONS

- 32
- 33 a) FROM SPECIAL BOARDS, COMMISSIONS & SPECIAL COMMITTEES.
- 34 b) OTHER ITEMS (that are not on the agenda).

35 1-If members have input for the cover or back of the Town Report (as provided by Dawn Griska) please forward comments directly to Dawn.

38 8. APPROVAL OF FINAL MINUTES – January 18 & 25, 2010.

39 A motion was made by Selectman Putnam and seconded Vice Chairman Daniels to approve the minutes of January 18 & 25, 2010 as presented. All were in favor of approval. Motion passed 5/0.

42 9. INFORMATION ITEMS REQUIRING NO DECISIONS

44 10. NON-PUBLIC SESSION – Non-public Session, Approval of Non-public Minutes (RSA 91-A:3, II (a, d & e) Personnel, Land Acquisition & Legal – January 25, 2010 (3 sets). Selectman Putnam moved to enter into non-public session at 7:55 p.m. to approve three sets of non-public minutes of January 25, 2010 and discuss a Personnel issue. Vice Chairman Daniels seconded. All were in favor. Motion passed 5/0.

49 Selectman Putnam moved to unseal three sets of non-public minutes of January 25, 2010 (Personnel, Legal and Land Acquisition). Selectman Carmen seconded. All were in favor. Motion passed 5/0.

52 Selectman Putnam moved to approve three sets of non-public minutes of January 25, 2010 (Personnel, Legal and Land Acquisition) as presented. Vice Chairman Daniels seconded. All were in favor. Motion passed 5/0.

55 Selectman Putnam moved to re-seal three sets of non-public minutes of January 25, 2010 (Personnel, Legal and Land Acquisition). Selectman Carmen seconded. All were in favor. Motion passed 5/0.

**APPROVED MINUTES OF BOARD OF SELECTMEN MEETING - 2/8/10**

1 After the approval of non-public minutes of January 25, 2010, there was discussion of a Personnel matter after  
2 which Selectman Putnam moved to come out of non-public session at 9:05 p.m. Vice Chairman Daniels seconded.  
3 All were in favor. Motion passed 5/0.

4  
5 Chairman Finan announced that in non-public session, three sets of non-public minutes of January 25, 2010 were  
6 approved. The Board then discussed a personnel matter after which Chairman Finan announced that the Board took  
7 no votes and made no decisions.

8  
9 **11. ADJOURNMENT:**

10  
11 There being no further business to come before this Meeting, Selectman Putnam moved to adjourn at 9:06 p.m.  
12 Vice Chairman Daniels seconded. All were in favor. Motion passed 5/0.

13  
14  
15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 Tim Finan, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_

Gary L. Daniels, Vice Chairman

17  
18  
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 Katherine Bauer, Member

\_\_\_\_\_

Nate Carmen, Member

21  
22  
23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 Mike Putnam, Member